

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western      District of North Carolina

United States of America

v.

)

Troy Daniel Hamilton

) Case No: 5:19-cr-38-KDB-DSC-1

Date of Original Judgment:

11/13/2019

)

Date of Previous Amended Judgment:

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

) USM No: 34825-058) *Defendant's Attorney***ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of  the defendant  the Director of the Bureau of Prisons  the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

DENIED.  GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (*as reflected in the last judgment issued*) of \_\_\_\_\_ months **is reduced to** \_\_\_\_\_.

*(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)*

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 11/13/2019 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed: January 9, 2024

  
Kenneth D. Bell  
United States District JudgeEffective Date:*(if different from order date)*

Kenneth D. Bell

*Printed name and title*

---

**This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.**  
*(Not for Public Disclosure)*

---

DEFENDANT: Troy Daniel Hamilton

CASE NUMBER: 5:19-cr-38-KDB-DSC-1

DISTRICT: Western District of North Carolina

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (*Prior to Any Departures*)**

Previous Total Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_ Amended Total Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_ Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Previous Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months Amended Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months

**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

- The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

**III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (*See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018)*)**

Although Defendant had “status points” under U.S.S.G. §4A1.1 in Amendment 821, it does not affect his criminal history category due to his having 12 criminal history points before the two status points, the status points would be reduced to one, giving him 13 criminal history points which is still a criminal history category VI and does not change his sentencing guideline range. (Doc. No. 61, ¶¶ 56-58). Additionally, the Defendant was sentenced to the statutory mandatory minimum.